

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 177590

15

ORIGIN L-03

INFO OCT-01 NEA-10 ISO-00 SS-15 TRSE-00 H-03 EB-11 AID-20

IGA-02 NSC-10 CIAE-00 INR-10 NSAE-00 RSC-01 OMB-01

ABF-01 PM-07 DODE-00 COME-00 /095 R

DRAFTED BY L:L/NEA:ATBROWN

9/4/73: X29501

APPROVED BY S - MR. RUSH, ACTING

NEA - MR. LAINGEN S/S MR BARNES

TREASURY - MR. HINDLE

NEA/INS - MR. BORN

H - MR. SCHNEE

EB/IFD/OIA - MR. KENNEDY

L/T - MR. BEVANS

TREASURY - MR. HAPPEL

EB/IFD - MR. WEINTRAUB

----- 028987

R 062238Z SEP 73

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI

INFO AMEMBASSY ISLAMABAD

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 177590

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: EFIN, IN

SUBJ: INDIA SURPLUS PROPERTY ACCOUNT:

NEGOTIATING INSTRUCTIONS

REFS: (A) STATE 159404

(B) STATE 162934

1. YOU ARE AUTHORIZED TO OPEN NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE GOI
TO REACH SETTLEMENT IN REGARD TO THE ACCOUNT OUTSTANDING
UNDER THE MUTUAL AID SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT OF MAY 16, 1946
BETWEEN THE US AND INDIA (THE "AGREEMENT"). THIS NEGOTIA-
TION SHOULD BE CONDUCTED IN CONNECTION WITH THE EXCESS
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 177590

RUPEES NEGOTIATIONS CURRENTLY IN PROGRESS.

2. AS DESCRIBED IN GREATER DETAIL IN CIRCULAR 175 MEMO-RANDUM PERTAINING TO THIS NEGOTIATION (COPY POUCHED TO EMBASSY), THE AMOUNT NOW DUE AND UNPAID IS RS. 14,386,765 ACCORDING TO OUR CALCULATIONS AND, APPARENTLY, RS.

14,186,765 ACCORDING TO GOI CALCULATIONS. THIS DIFFERENCE RESULTS FROM THE USE BY GOI OF "ACTUAL REALIZATIONS" ON SALES EFFECTED AS OF JUNE 30, 1948 RATHER THAN, AS WE CONSIDER APPROPRIATE, THE USE OF NET VALUE OF SALES AS OF THAT DATE. THE TOTAL NET VALUE OF SALES AND THE TOTAL ACTUAL REALIZATIONS AS OF JUNE 30, 1948, APPEAR ON PAGE 2 OF THE JULY 1, 1953 GOI NOTE NO. D.3612/53-AMS INCLUDED IN THE PACKAGE OF DOCUMENTS POUCHED TO THE EMBASSY AUGUST 23, 1973. IT IS OUR POSITION THAT THE DECISION OF THE GOI TO DISPOSE OF SURPLUS PROPERTY UNDER THE AGREEMENT ON A CREDIT BASIS OR UNDER OTHER TERMS NOT RESULTING IN PAYMENT BY THE PURCHASER AT THE TIME OF SALE SHOULD NOT AFFECT THE OBLIGATION OF THE GOI TO DELIVER TO THE USG THE LATTER'S SHARE OF THE SALE PROCEEDS.

3. ACCORDING TO DEPT RECORDS, THE GOI HAS WITHHELD THE APPROX. RS. 14 MILLION ON TWO GROUNDS:

A. PART OF THE PROCEEDS WERE WITHHELD PENDING FINAL SETTLEMENT OF CLAIMS BROUGHT AGAINST THE GOI BY CERTAIN PURCHASERS OF THE SURPLUS PROPERTY. OUR LAST RECORD OF THIS LITIGATION INDICATES IT REMAINED UNSETTLED AS OF 1963. THE GOI MAINTAINED IT COULD NOT SETTLE ACCOUNTS UNDER THE AGREEMENT WHILE LARGE CLAIMS IN RESPECT TO SALES OF SURPLUS PROPERTY REMAINED OUTSTANDING. THE USG, ON THE OTHER HAND, HAS MAINTAINED (THUS FAR WITHOUT SUCCESS) THAT THE TERMS AND MEANS OF DISPOSAL OF THE SURPLUS PROPERTY WERE ENTIRELY AT THE DISCRETION OF THE GOI AND THAT, THEREFORE, CLAIMS RESULTING FROM SUCH DISPOSAL CANNOT LIMIT THE OBLIGATION OF THE GOI TO DELIVER THE USG SHARE OF PROCEEDS ACCORDING TO THE TERMS OF THE AGREEMENT.

B. THE GOI HAS ALSO WITHHELD AMOUNTS IN RESPECT TO
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 177590

SURPLUS PROPERTY CLAIMED TO HAVE BEEN LEFT IN PAKISTAN AT THE TIME OF PARTITION. AGAIN, OUR POSITION HAS BEEN THAT TITLE TO AND CONTROL OF THE SURPLUS PROPERTY PASSED TO GOI AT THE TIME OF THE AGREEMENT, AND THAT, THEREFORE, EVENTS OCCURING AFTER TITLE PASSED AND SALES OCCURRED CANNOT LIMIT THE OBLIGATIONS OF INDIA UNDER THE AGREEMENT. HOWEVER, IT IS NOT CLEAR FROM AVAILABLE DEPT RECORDS WHETHER SURPLUS PROPERTY LEFT IN PAKISTAN

HAD BEEN SOLD AT THE TIME OF PARTITION OR WHETHER, IF IT HAD, INDIA HAD RECEIVED PAYMENT ON SUCH SALES.

4. IT IS HOPED THAT FACTS CAN BE DEVELOPED IN THE COURSE OF YOUR DISCUSSIONS WITH THE GOI ON THIS SUBJECT. OUR REVIEW OF THE AGREEMENT AND AVAILABLE DEPT RECORDS SUGGESTS TWO GENERAL POINTS:

A. UPON EXECUTION OF THE AGREEMENT, INDIA RECEIVED TITLE TO LARGE AMOUNTS OF USG PROPERTY WITHOUT PAYMENT OF COMPENSATION TO THE US. ALTHOUGH OBLIGATED TO DISPOSE OF THIS PROPERTY AND THUS INCUR CERTAIN ADMINISTRATIVE AND SELLING EXPENSES, THE GOI WAS ENTITLED TO RETAIN FROM THE PROCEEDS OF SALES: (1) THE RUPEE EQUIVALENT OF 50 MILLION DOLS, (2) 50 PER CENT OF THE PROCEEDS OF ALL SALES ABOVE THAT AMOUNT, (3) 100 PER CENT OF THE PROCEEDS OF ALL SALES AFTER JUNE 30, 1948, AND (4) CUSTOMS DUTIES ON THE AMOUNT OF ALL SALES. IT WOULD APPEAR THAT THESE INCOME SOURCES SHOULD HAVE BEEN--AND INDEED WERE--MORE THAN SUFFICIENT TO COVER INDIA'S ADMINISTRATIVE AND SELLING EXPENSES INCURRED PURSUANT TO THE AGREEMENT.

B. THE BASIC CONCEPT OF PARA 7 OF THE AGREEMENT WAS THAT INDIA WOULD RECEIVE TITLE TO AND RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL USG SURPLUS PROPERTY IN INDIA AS OF THE TIME OF THE AGREEMENT. THE GOI WOULD EXERCISE ITS BEST EFFORTS TO DISPOSE OF THE PROPERTY AS EXPEDITIOUSLY AS POSSIBLE AND WOULD MAKE A FINAL REPORT AS OF A DATE NO LATER THAN JUNE 30, 1948. THE US THEN WOULD BE ENTITLED TO A SPECIFIED SHARE OF THE PROCEEDS OF SALES DESCRIBED IN THE FINAL REPORT. THE POINT HERE WAS TO PERMIT DRAWING A LINE ON THE DISPOSAL PROCESS AND FINAL DETERMINATION OF AMOUNTS OWED. ONE RESULT OF THIS PROCEDURE WAS CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 177590

THAT THE USG HAD NO CLAIM FOR PAYMENTS IN RESPECT TO SALES AFTER THE DATE OF THE FINAL REPORT. AT ONE POINT WE ATTEMPTED TO REOPEN THAT ASPECT OF THE AGREEMENT, BUT THE GOI INSISTED UPON HOLDING TO ITS TERMS, THUS DENYING THE USG PROCEEDS IN RESPECT TO PROPERTY SOLD AFTER JUNE 30, 1948. IN THIS CONTEXT WE THINK IT FAIR TO HOLD INDIA STRICTLY ACCOUNTABLE FOR ALL PROCEEDS OF SALES INCLUDED IN THE FINAL REPORT.

5. IN VIEW OF THE FOREGOING, DEPT REQUESTS ANY RECOMMENDATION FOR A SETTLEMENT AT LESS THAN RS. 14,386,765 BE ACCOMPANIED BY AN EXPLANATION FOR THE COMPROMISE FIGURE, INCLUDING ANY NEW FACTS DEVELOPED IN THE DISCUSSIONS.

6. ANY SETTLEMENT AMOUNT RESULTING FROM THIS NEGOTIATION WILL BE EXTREMELY SMALL COMPARED WITH THE AMOUNTS BEING DISCUSSED IN THE EXCESS RUPEE NEGOTIATIONS. WITH THAT IN MIND, IT IS SUGGESTED THAT YOU URGE THE GOI TO ACCEPT OUR ENTIRE CLAIM, BUT INDICATE WILLINGNESS TO DISCUSS INCLUSION OF THE SETTLEMENT AMOUNT WITHIN THE RUPEE ACCOUNT TO BE RETAINED BY THE US AS A RESULT OF THE EXCESS RUPEE NEGOTIATIONS. RUSH

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 06 SEP 1973
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: morefirh
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973STATE177590
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: L/NEA:ATBROWN
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS RUSH
Errors: N/A
Film Number: n/a
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730950/aaaabkvf.tel
Line Count: 177
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: ORIGIN L
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: (A) STATE 159404
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: morefirh
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 19 DEC 2001
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <19-Dec-2001 by martinml>; APPROVED <10-Jan-2002 by morefirh>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: INDIA SURPLUS PROPERTY ACCOUNT: NEGOTIATING INSTRUCTIONS
TAGS: EFIN, IN
To: NEW DELHI
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005